

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,903	09/835,903 04/16/2001		Nagabhushana T. Sindhushayana	010067 .	5512
23696	7590	12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCON 5775 MORE		RPORATED	TORRES, JOSEPH D		
SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2133	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/835,903 SINDHUSHAYAN		IA ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Joseph D. Torres	2133				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHII - Exte afte - If No - Faile Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANDERS OF THE MANDERS O	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. Itutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status	·				•			
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>05 .lun</i>	e 2006					
2a)□	·		ction is non-final.					
3)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
/—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		,	,				
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the ap	nnlication						
7/63			from consideration					
5)[]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ection requirement					
	•							
	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	·— •	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objec							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				, ,			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	niner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the claim for the contract of the contr	or foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents h	nave been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents h	nave been received in .	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority	documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	` ''					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of	the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	TO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				
			,					

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- l. Claims 1-8, 12-25, 33-40 and 44-57, drawn to a method for encoding data prior to transmission, classified in class 714, subclass 758.
- II. Claims 9-11 and 41-43, drawn to a method for decoding data when a number of the incorrectly decoded packets is less than or equal to a predetermined code distance, classified in class 714, subclass 781.
- III. Claims 26-32, drawn to a method for decoding data in a request for retransmission protocol by transmitting a signal containing at least one number; receiving at least one packet in response to the signal; and decoding the incorrectly decoded packets in accordance with the received at least one packet, classified in class 714, subclass 751.
- IV. Claims 58-62, drawn to an apparatus for decoding including a transmitter, coupled to the processor, configured to transmit a signal containing number of incorrectly decoded packets, classified in class 714, subclass 750.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I through Group IV related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

Art Unit: 2133

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination Group I has separate utility such as or encoding in a transmitter. In the instant case, subcombination Group II has separate utility such as for a decoder using specific codes generated from generator polynomials or matrices to ensure a pre-determined code distance. In the instant case, subcombination Group III has separate utility such as for a decoder in a request for retransmission protocol that requests additional error correction information. In the instant case, subcombination Group IV has separate utility such as a decoder providing feedback to a transmitter. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Jian Maa on 11/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 2133

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,903

Art Unit: 2133

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JOSEPH D/TORRES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Joseph D. Torres, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133